## Compartmentalization Effects in Multifamily Buildings

Iain Walker Lawrence Berkeley Labs - Residential Building Systems Group April 10, 2024





Office of ENERGY EFFICIENCY & RENEWABLE ENERGY

BUILDING TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE

#### Background

- Dwelling unit compartmentalization aims to reduce inter-unit air and contaminant transport as well as envelope leakage for energy purposes
- Some codes/standards, including ASHRAE 62.2, have performance-based compartmentalization targets for multi-family dwellings

#### **Key Questions**

- 1. Is the current compartmentalization requirement in ASHRAE 62.2 adequate for controlling cross-contamination in multi-family buildings?
- 2. Are different ventilation system types more or less sensitive to compartmentalization?



## **Building Typology and Climate**

#### **Building Types**

- Low-rise: 4 stories
- High-rise: 20 stories

#### **Climate Zones**

- 2A Hot Humid
- 2B Hot Dry
- 3C Warm Marine
- 4A Mixed Humid
- 7 Very Cold

### Each unit about 900 sq. ft.



#### **Ventilation System Design**

- 1. Unit-Level Balanced Heat Recovery Ventilators
- 2. Unit Exhaust with Corridor Supply (Pressurized Corridor)
- 3. Unit Supply
- 4. Unit Exhaust with Trickle Vents
- 5. No Ventilation

Air Flows meet ASHRAE 62.2 minimum requirements

#### **Corridors**

• Ventilated to meet ASHRAE 62.1

#### Local Exhaust Fans

- Sized to meet ASHRAE 62.2
- Kitchen, bath, laundry exhaust fans operated on fixed schedules

Time		Activities	Kitchen Fan	Bathroom Fan	Laundry Fan
Start	End		L/s (cfm)	L/s (cfm)	L/s (cfm)
7:00	7:30	Showering	0	25 (53)	0
7:30	8:00	Cooking and Showering	50 (106)	25 (53)	0
11:45	12:15	Cooking	50 (106)	0	0
18:00	18:30	Cooking	50 (106)	0	0

## **Dwelling Unit Air Leakage**

Areas are all 6 sides Blower Door pressurization to 50 Pa "Unguarded"

Leakage Class	Leakage (L/s/m² at 50Pa)	Leakage (cfm <sub>50</sub> /ft <sup>2</sup> )	<b>ASHRAE 62.2 - 2019</b> optional Compartmentalization		
Typical	5.1	1.0	Requirement and EPA		
Current Practice	1.5	0.30			
Moderate	1.0	0.20	ASHRAE 62.2 - 2022 Compartmentalization		
Tight	0.50	0.10	Requirement		
Super Tight	0.25	0.05			

#### Leakage Distributions: Indoors and outdoors

Highly variable between buildings and between units (in some buildings and not in others)....





#### Leakage Distribution – all 6 sides

Most leaks to outside or corridor

Not much leakage to adjacent suites on the same floor



Cfm ~ L/s X 2



Lozinsky & Touchie 2021

#### Indoor Contaminants

- Carbon Dioxide from NIST
- Formaldehyde
- PM<sub>2.5</sub>
- Moisture
- Emission rates from field studies
- Contaminant Types
  - Global contaminants (ALL dwelling units)
  - Shadow contaminants (Unit 2 on Levels 1, 11, 20)



## Results



# Example Air Flows for a Leaky Building

Unit Exhaust, Corridor Supply ventilation Three days in January in CZ 7

At this "typical" poor leakage level – flows not controlled by mechanical ventilation system

Significant flow from other units(except ground floor)

Flow from outside depends on weather – less on mechanical ventilation system





# Example Air Flows for a Tight Building

Unit Exhaust, Corridor Supply ventilation Three days in January in CZ 7

At this "tight" leakage level – flows are controlled by mechanical ventilation system

No flow from other units

No weather dependence







ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES AREA

Worst case: Building-Level Maximum "From Unit" Air Flows (L/s)

#### Inter-unit air flow: Climate

Tight buildings have very small climate effects





Leakage (L/s<sub>50</sub>/m<sup>2</sup>)

## **Contaminant Transport (shadow contaminants)**

Annual Average Zone CO<sub>2</sub> Concentration (ppm) from Source Unit

Very little transport for tight construction for CO2, formaldehyde and even less for PM

Highest Non-Source Unit <10%

ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES AREA

Most units – no measurable difference



ASHRAE Tightness (1.0L/s/m<sup>2</sup> (0.2cfm<sub>50</sub>/ft<sup>2</sup>))





#### **UCDavis Field Study**

- Field Measurements in California buildings leakage about 0.15 cfm50/ft<sup>2</sup> (0.75 L/s/m<sup>2</sup>)
- No measurable PM transfer
- Very little CO<sub>2</sub> transfer unless kitchen exhaust operating [1-3% of CO2 from source unit]



#### Conclusions

1. Is the current compartmentalization requirement in ASHRAE 62.2 adequate for controlling cross-contamination in multi-family buildings? YES

- Maximum From-Unit Flows typically 1-2 cfm (did not exceed 10 cfm) for current 62.2 compartmentalization target **typical** flows even smaller
- No measurable PM transfer
- Increasing compartmentalization provided diminishing returns
- Limiting wind and stack flows allows mechanical ventilation to operate as designed
- 2. Are different ventilation system types more or less sensitive to compartmentalization?
  - No significant differences between ventilation systems for reasonable (EPA EnergyStar or ASHRAE 62.2) tightness

# "Build Tight, Ventilate Right"

#### Acknowledgments

#### **Project Team (LBNL)**

- Iain Walker
- Brennan Less
- David Lorenzetti
- Nuria Casquero-Modrego
- Michael Sohn

This work was supported by the Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Building Technologies Office, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

#### References

- Jo, J.-H., Lim, J.-H., Song, S.-Y., Yeo, M.-S., & Kim, K.-W. (2007). Characteristics of pressure distribution and solution to the problems caused by stack effect in high-rise residential buildings. *Building and Environment*, 42(1), 263–277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2005.07.002
- Peter Moffatt, Ian Theaker, & Craig Wray. (1998). *Field Testing to Characterize Suite Ventilation in Recently Constructed Mid- and High Rise Residential Buildings* [Research Report]. Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation. https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\_2011/schl-cmhc/nh18-1/NH18-1-177-1998-eng.pdf

Ricketts, L. (2014). A Field Study of Airflow in a High-Rise Multi-Unit Residential Building [M.A.Sc. Thesis]. University of Waterloo.

- Singer, B. C., Delp, W. R., Black, W. W., & Walker, I. S. (2016). Measurement-based evaluation of ventilation and filtration systems for reducing outdoor PM2.5 in a modern California detached house. *Indoor Air 2016: The 14th International Conference on Indoor Air Quality and Climate, 9.*
- Tian, X., Fine, J., & Touchie, M. (2020). The Impact of Sweeps and Weather Stripping on Suite Door Air Tightness. ASHRAE *Transactions*, *126*(1).
- Yoon, S., Song, D., Kim, J., & Lim, H. (2019). Stack-driven infiltration and heating load differences by floor in high-rise residential buildings. *Building and Environment*, 157, 366–379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2019.05.006

#### References

- Bohac, D. L., Sweeney, L., Davis, R., Olson, C., & Nelson, G. (2020). *Energy Code Field Studies: Low-Rise Multifamily Air Leakage Testing*. US DOE. <u>https://www.mncee.org/sites/default/files/report-files/LRMF\_AirLeakageTesting\_FinalReport\_2020-07-06.pdf</u>
- Ricketts, L and Straube, J, 2014. A field study of Airflow in Mid to High-Rise Multi-Unit Residential Buildings. 14th Canadian Conference on Building Science and Technology, Toronto, ON. http://rdh.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/CCBST-2014-A-Field-Study-of-Airflow-in-High-Rise-Multi-Unit-Residential-Buildings-LR-JS.pdf
- Bohac, D., Hewett, M. and Grimsrud, D. 2007. Measured Change in Multifamily Unit Air Leakage and Air Flow Due to Air Sealing and Ventilation Treatments. Proc Buildings X.
- Hannas and Bohac: RESNET Conference presentation, 2018
- Lozinsky, C. and Touchie, M. 2020. Inter-zonal airflow in multi-unit residential buildings: A review of the magnitude of interaction of driving forces, measurement techniques and magnitudes, and its impact on building performance. Indoor Air. 2020;001:1-26. DOI: 10.1111/ina.12712
- Chan, W.R., Kim, Y-S., Less, B.D., Singer, B.C. and Walker, I.S. 2020. Ventilation and Indoor Air Quality in New California Homes with Gas Appliances and Mechanical Ventilation. LBNL 2001200. DOI: 10.20357/B7QC7X
- NISTIR-7212 (2005). "Modeling the IAQ Impact of HH1 Interventions in Inner-City Housing" by SJ Emmerich, C Howard-Read, and A Gupte. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD
- Walker, I., Less, B., Lozinsky, C., Lorenzetti, D., Casquero-Modrego, N., Sohn, M. (2024). Compartmentalization and Ventilation System Impacts on Air and Contaminant Transport for Multifamily Buildings. International Journal of Ventilation. DOI: 10.1080/14733315.2024.2333669
- Zhao, H., Walker, I., Sohn, M. and Less, B. (2022). A Time-Varying Model for Predicting Formaldehyde Emission Rates in Homes. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2022, 19, 6603. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19116603.
- Modera, M., Adler, S., Harrington, C., Bennet, D., Moran, R. and Goebes, M. 2023. Improving Air Quality, Energy Efficiency, and Greenhouse Gas Reductions Through Multifamily Unit Compartmentalization. California Air Resources Board.

### Contaminants – CO<sub>2</sub> & PM<sub>2.5</sub>

## $CO_2$

From NIST (8 hours sleeping 16 hours awake)

- Adult: 10 mg/sec (awake); 6.5 mg/sec (asleep)
- Child: 6.5 mg/sec (awake); 4 mg/sec (asleep)

#### $\mathsf{PM}_{2.5}$

Cooking source measured in homes (Chan et al. 2020)

- PM2.5 cooking: 0.0208 mg/sec (assumes a 50% CE range hood), otherwise 0.0416 mg/sec)
- PM2.5 other sources: 0.00007 mg/sec.

Outdoors from EPA – tracked as a separate contaminant

Included removal mechanisms for interunit transport and through exterior walls based on measured data in homes (an insulated wall is about MERV 11/13 – Singer et al. 2016)

### **Contaminants: Formaldehyde**

- From measured formaldehyde in 70 test homes (Zhao et al. 2022).
- Complex function of temperature, humidity and ventilation rate



#### Does construction type matter?... Not consistently



FIGURE 3 Contribution of interior air leakage paths to total suite air leakage from previous studies



Lozinsky and Touchie 2020

### Indoor Contaminant Example PM from cooking

